## Suggested Further Reading

I list books from which you can learn modeling, in the spirit and style akin to those of my course.

- [1] S. Mahajan, Order-of-Magnitude Physics, 1999;
- downloadable from http://www.inference.phy.cam.ac.uk/sanjoy/oom/
- [2] L. C. Epstein, *Thinking Physics*, Insight Press 1979.
- [3] Ja. B. Zel'dovič i A. D. Myškis, Elementy Prikladnoĭ Matematiki, Nauka 1974.
- [4] G. I. Barenblatt, Scaling, Cambridge UP 2003.
- [5] R. Eastaway & J. Wyndham, Why do buses come in threes?, Robson Books 1998.
- [6] D. MacKay, Sustainable Enery—without the hot air, UIT Cambridge 2009; downloadable from http://www.withouthotair.com/
- [7] J. Maynard Smith, Mathematical Ideas in Biology, Cambridge UP 1968.

[1], or rather its author, was the most direct influence on this course. [2] is a collection of very easy and very entertaining puzzles. The best way for you to round off this course is to study [1] and to work through [2]. For those who read Russian, an equally excellent way is to study [3] and to browse from scores of books by Ja. I. Perel'man, perhaps the greatest popularizer of science of all time.

[4] details the mathematics behind dimensional analysis and goes on to advanced applications.[5] is a popular book on applied mathematics of social phenomena (whereas my course focused on natural ones).[6] teaches how to model and figure out on your own anything in energy issues, instead of having to trust media or gurus.[7] is a very elementary and very tasteful introduction to modeling biological phenomena.

- [8] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, M. Sands, The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Addison-Wesley 1963.
- [9] A. Sommerfeld, Vorlesungen über theoretische Physik, 1943–52; neu hrsg. Harri Deutsch 1988–2005.
- [10] E. Guyon, J.-P. Hulin, L. Petit, Ce que disent les fluides, Belin 2005.
- [11] T. Faber, Fluid Dynamics for Physicists, Cambridge UP 1995.
- [12] J. Gordon, Science of Structures and Materials, Scientific American Library 1988.
- [13] R. Phillips, J. Kondev, J. Theriot, *Physical Biology of the Cell*, Garland Science 2009.
- [14] D. Maoz, Astrophysics in a Nutshell, Princeton UP 2007.

In order to model phenomena, first and foremost you need to know those actual, raw phenomena—what happens, before you can start arguing why. The richer diversity of phenomena you know, the better modeler you have a chance to be. People have been mesmerized by how slick arguments in [8] are; I suggest you use these lectures rather as a reasoned catalogue, to get acquainted with lots of physical phenomena; they are comprehensive on optics and electromagnetism, sketchy on mechanics and statistical physics. [9] gives a more systematic guidance than [8] on how to use mathematics. For fluid phenomena, look at [10], a beautiful album of photos and simple models. [11] analyses most of these phenomena mathematically; it is strong on waves and instabilities, weak on vorticity. (By the way, I have no vested interest in advertising Cambridge books. Their numerous presence on the list is fortuitous.) For elasticity throughout nature and engineering, do not miss [12], another beautifully illustrated book. For biological modeling beyond [7], try the bulky yet locally friendly [13]. [14] does what this course hoped to do, only much better, in astrophysics.

Tadashi Tokieda, August 2012